Global Research Review in Business and Economics [GRRBE]
ISSN (Online) 2454-3217, ISSN (Print) 2395-4671
| Open-Access | Volume 12, Issue 06,
Pages 20-24 ||2026||

Working Capital and Small-Business Resilience: Managing Liquidity Without Compromising Operational Capacity

[1]Dr. Stanley A. V. Paul, Snr., [2]Dr. Stanley A. V. Paul, Jr., [3]Dr. Andre Martin, [4]Dr. Justin Joseph, [5]Dr. Kobina Akim Wilson, [6]Dr. Genève Phillip-Durham
[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]*Professor, University of Excellence, Management and Business (U.E.M.B.), Georgetown, GUYANA*

ABSTRACT

Small enterprises can report profitable activity while remaining vulnerable to cash shortfalls created by delayed collections, inventory commitments and mismatched payment obligations. Working-capital management therefore requires more than reducing current assets or extending supplier payments. This conceptual article examines liquidity as an operational capability through selected research on working-capital valuation, financing constraints and trade credit. It develops a framework linking cash visibility, customer-credit decisions, inventory segmentation, supplier relationships and contingent financing. The analysis distinguishes efficiency from fragility and argues that the appropriate level of working capital depends on uncertainty, replenishment requirements and the consequences of interruption. A hypothetical cash scenario illustrates how an apparently adequate forecast can fail when collections are delayed. The article proposes that managers assess working-capital decisions through their effects on both cash availability and the enterprise's ability to fulfil commitments. Implications are developed for small-business governance, monitoring and future empirical research.

KEYWORDS: working capital; small enterprises; liquidity; resilience; trade credit; cash conversion cycle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Profitability and liquidity answer different questions. Profit concerns the relationship between recognised revenues and expenses. Liquidity concerns whether an enterprise can meet obligations when they fall due. A small business can make profitable sales on credit and still lack the cash required to pay employees, replenish essential stock or maintain service. Growth can intensify this problem when additional sales require expenditure before customers pay.

Working-capital management connects financial decisions with everyday operations. Receivables reflect commercial terms and collection practices. Inventory reflects demand uncertainty, replenishment time and service commitments. Payables reflect purchasing arrangements and relationships with suppliers. Treating these accounts solely as financial ratios obscures the activities that create them. This article argues that resilience requires a balance between releasing cash and preserving operational capacity. An aggressive reduction in inventory can improve a financial indicator while increasing the likelihood of interruption. Extending supplier payments can preserve cash temporarily while weakening access to critical inputs. The central question is therefore how managers can improve liquidity without transferring unacceptable risk into operations.

2. ANALYTICAL APPROACH AND EVIDENCE

The article draws purposively on ten peer-reviewed contributions published by Oxford University Press. Petersen and Rajan (1997) examine trade credit with particular attention to small firms. Cuñat (2007) analyses suppliers' financing and insurance roles. Kieschnick et al. (2013) investigate working capital and shareholder wealth. Denis and Sibilkov (2010) examine financial constraints, investment and cash holdings. The selected studies provide foundations for a conceptual analysis rather than a comprehensive synthesis of small-business finance. Some evidence concerns larger or publicly traded firms, and the institutional settings differ from those of many Caribbean enterprises. The article therefore avoids deriving universal target ratios from these studies. Its proposed framework concerns the reasoning through which managers can evaluate their own operating circumstances. Resilience is defined here as the capacity to sustain important commitments through plausible

disruptions and to recover without unnecessarily destroying future earning capacity. The definition does not imply that every business can or should survive every shock. It focuses on the avoidable vulnerability created by poorly coordinated cash and operating decisions.

3. WORKING CAPITAL AS AN OPERATING SYSTEM

Net working capital is commonly expressed as current assets minus current liabilities. For operating analysis, managers may instead focus on receivables and inventory less operating payables, distinguishing these items from cash and financing balances. The definition used should be explicit because different measures answer different questions. The cash conversion cycle summarises the interval associated with holding inventory and collecting customer payments, offset by the time taken to pay suppliers. Inventory days, receivable days and payable days should be calculated using consistent periods and appropriate denominators. In particular, payable days ideally relate to credit purchases; using cost of sales as a proxy introduces an approximation that should be recognised. The cycle is informative but incomplete. Two firms can report similar averages while facing very different risks. One may collect regularly from many customers; another may depend on a single overdue account. One may hold rapidly replaceable stock; another may rely on imported components with uncertain delivery times. An average cannot reveal these concentrations. Kieschnick et al. (2013) find that the valuation of additional operating working capital varies with factors including financial constraints, debt and expected sales. Their evidence challenges a simple assumption that every additional unit of working capital has the same economic value. The practical implication is to examine purpose and context before treating reductions as inherently beneficial.

4. CASH VISIBILITY AND FORECAST DISCIPLINE

A rolling cash forecast should connect expected receipts and payments to actual dates. It should distinguish confirmed commitments from estimates and identify the assumptions behind major inflows. Sales forecasts are insufficient if they do not show when customers are expected to pay. Similarly, a monthly expenditure total can conceal a shortfall occurring before a large receipt later in the month. Consider a hypothetical enterprise beginning a week with 50,000 currency units, expecting collections of 90,000 and facing essential payments of 110,000. Its projected closing balance is 30,000. If 30,000 of collections is delayed, the closing balance falls to zero. If management requires a minimum operating balance of 15,000, the delayed-collection scenario creates a funding requirement of 15,000. These figures are illustrative assumptions, not observations from a business.

The example shows why a positive baseline forecast does not establish resilience. Managers should test plausible delays, changes in input prices and interruptions to supply. Scenarios should reflect the enterprise's actual exposures rather than apply arbitrary percentage shocks to every item. A business dependent on one major customer may need a collection-delay scenario; a seasonal retailer may need a demand and inventory scenario. Forecast accuracy should also be reviewed. Repeatedly optimistic collection estimates can conceal deteriorating receivables. Large unexplained differences between forecast and actual payments may indicate weak coordination between purchasing and finance. Reviewing the reasons for error improves the information system rather than merely blaming the forecast's author.

5. CUSTOMER CREDIT AND RECEIVABLE QUALITY

Credit terms can support sales, but they also create financing and collection risk. Managers should evaluate a customer's payment capacity, history, concentration within total receivables and the commercial importance of the relationship. Uniform terms may be administratively simple while failing to reflect material differences in risk. Petersen and Rajan (1997) find evidence that small firms use trade credit when institutional finance is less available and discuss suppliers' informational advantages. The study helps explain why commercial relationships and financing are interconnected. It does not establish that extending credit is always profitable or that a supplier can safely replace a financial institution's assessment. Receivable management should begin before an invoice becomes overdue. Clear pricing, accurate documentation, agreed acceptance procedures and prompt invoicing can reduce preventable disputes. A late payment may result from financial distress, administrative error or disagreement about performance. These causes require different responses, and collection efforts should identify which problem is operating. Customer concentration deserves particular attention. A large account may improve sales efficiency while exposing the enterprise to a substantial collection shock. Managers should consider the combined effect of credit exposure and operational dependence. Losing a major customer and failing to collect its outstanding balance can create a more severe problem than either event considered separately.

Discounts for early payment should be evaluated against their cost and the value of accelerated cash. A discount can be commercially sensible, but its apparent small percentage may represent an expensive financing choice over a short period. The decision should compare realistic alternatives and account for whether customers would have paid promptly without the incentive.

Credit terms also belong within pricing analysis. Using Swedish firm and product data around a recession, Amberg et al. (2021) link trade-credit provision to product-price adjustments as liquidity costs and counterparty risks change. Their evidence makes the financing component of a transaction economically visible. A small enterprise should therefore compare the contribution earned from a credit sale with its funding cost and collection risk, rather than assuming that an unchanged invoice price produces an unchanged commercial return.

6. INVENTORY, SERVICE AND THE COST OF SHORTAGES

Inventory is both a use of cash and a means of fulfilling commitments. The appropriate level depends on demand variability, replenishment time, substitutability, deterioration and the consequence of a shortage. Reducing every stock category by the same proportion ignores these differences. A useful segmentation distinguishes essential items with uncertain replenishment from readily available items and slow-moving stock. Essential items may justify a buffer because interruption would stop a larger process. Slow-moving stock may require a different response, including revised purchasing, disposal or changes in the product offering. The purpose is to identify why inventory exists before deciding whether it is excessive. Managers should also examine the interaction between batch purchasing and cash pressure. A volume discount may reduce unit cost while tying up cash and increasing storage or obsolescence risk. The relevant comparison includes the full operational and financing consequences, not the purchase price alone.

Service enterprises face analogous commitments even when physical inventory is limited. Work in progress, prepaid resources and staff time committed before customer payment can create a cash gap. The framework therefore applies to operational commitments more broadly, while recognising that accounting treatment differs across businesses.

7. SUPPLIER CREDIT AND RELATIONSHIP RESILIENCE

Supplier terms can provide flexibility, but payment extension is not a costless source of finance. Cuñat (2007) examines how suppliers can act as liquidity providers while possessing enforcement advantages connected to continued supply. The analysis makes clear that financing and operational dependence are intertwined. A business that delays a critical supplier without agreement may preserve cash briefly while risking delivery interruption, reduced credit limits or a shift to advance payment. The resulting operational damage can exceed the immediate cash benefit. Managers should therefore distinguish negotiated flexibility from unilateral non-payment. Communication can improve the range of available responses. A supplier informed early of a temporary mismatch may be willing to revise a schedule, particularly where the relationship remains commercially credible. Such flexibility cannot be assumed, and a supplier's own liquidity constraints may limit its capacity to assist. Resilience planning should not depend entirely on another small firm absorbing the shock. Supplier concentration should be assessed alongside payment timing. A favourable credit period from one irreplaceable supplier may conceal substantial dependency. Alternative sources, compatible specifications and realistic switching times can matter as much as the nominal number of days granted.

Casaburi and Willis (2024) examine financial services embedded in value-chain relationships involving small firms, consumers and workers in developing countries. Their review considers how these arrangements can address information and enforcement barriers while creating new challenges. For a small enterprise, supplier or buyer finance should consequently be assessed as a combined commercial relationship. The relevant questions include how repayment is enforced, whether alternative trading partners remain available and what happens to operating continuity if the financing relationship ends. Supplier credit can also reflect market structure rather than a neutral accommodation of a customer's needs. Lehar et al. (2020) develop a model in which trade credit can facilitate coordination between competing supply chains and report evidence consistent with its predictions. This does not establish collusion in any particular relationship. It does justify examining credit alongside price, exclusivity and purchasing conditions. Attractive payment terms should be evaluated within the full economic cost and constraints of the supply agreement.

8. CASH BUFFERS AND CONTINGENT FINANCE

Holding cash has an opportunity cost, but insufficient cash can prevent valuable activity. Denis and Sibilkov (2010) find evidence consistent with cash enabling financially constrained firms to undertake investments that costly external finance might otherwise prevent. Their results support examining liquidity as a source of

flexibility rather than treating unused cash as automatically wasteful. A small enterprise should determine its buffer through exposure and recovery time. Relevant considerations include the reliability of receipts, the timing of unavoidable payments, access to committed finance and the consequences of interruption. There is no defensible universal reserve expressed as the same number of months for every business. Contingent finance should be assessed before it is needed. An uncommitted indication of support differs from an available facility with understood conditions. Managers should know the circumstances under which funding can be drawn, the costs involved and whether a deterioration in performance could restrict access precisely when liquidity becomes scarce. Financing decisions also interact with operational choices. A business may reduce its funding requirement through staged customer payments, smaller purchasing commitments or changes in service scheduling. These alternatives should be evaluated against customer value and operational feasibility. Financial resilience is often improved through coordination rather than through borrowing alone.

A committed facility also has conditions. Sufi (2009) finds that access to credit lines is connected to cash flow and that banks restrict access following covenant violations. The evidence cautions against treating undrawn credit as unconditionally equivalent to cash. Forecasts should identify the circumstances under which a facility could become unavailable, while distinguishing those contractual conditions from a manager's expectation that a lender will continue to provide support.

Acharya and Steffen (2020) document US corporate credit-line drawdowns and subsequent differences in access to capital markets during the initial pandemic shock. Their setting involves financing options often unavailable to small enterprises, so the observed behaviour is not a direct prescription for those firms. The transferable consideration is that financing capacity can change during a common shock. A contingency plan should test whether customers, suppliers and lenders might all become less able to provide liquidity at the same time.

9. GOVERNANCE AND PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Responsibility for working capital should extend beyond the finance function. Sales staff influence credit terms, purchasing staff influence inventory and supplier commitments, and operations staff influence delivery and invoicing milestones. Targets that reward each function independently can produce an undesirable combined result. Revenue growth, for example, may be achieved through terms that finance cannot sustain. Management review should therefore consider a connected set of indicators: cash forecast variance, overdue receivables, customer concentration, critical stock availability, obsolete inventory and supplier commitments. The indicators should be interpreted together. A shorter cash cycle accompanied by rising stockouts may indicate that apparent efficiency has weakened service capacity. Exceptions require clear decision rights. Staff should know who can approve unusual customer terms, exceed a purchasing commitment or negotiate a payment adjustment. Informal exceptions can accumulate into a material exposure when no one sees the total. A simple record of significant commitments may provide more value than a complex dashboard built on incomplete data. The operating consequences of credit contraction deserve explicit monitoring. Cingano et al. (2016), using matched Italian firm, bank data around the interbank-market disruption following the financial crisis, find effects extending beyond investment to employment, input purchases and trade-credit chains. Their estimates are specific to that research setting. For governance, the study supports tracing a financing shortfall through operations: which purchases would be delayed, which capacity would be lost and which customer commitments would then become difficult to fulfil?

10. LIMITATIONS AND CONCLUSION

The article develops a conceptual framework from a selective literature and an illustrative scenario. It does not estimate optimal working-capital levels or establish causal effects for a particular small-business population. Future research should examine how collection concentration, replenishment uncertainty and access to finance jointly affect resilience in different institutional settings. Working-capital resilience requires cash discipline that remains attentive to the enterprise's productive capacity. Receivables, inventory and payables are expressions of commercial relationships and operating decisions. Their management should preserve the ability to serve customers, maintain critical supply and respond to plausible shocks. The objective is a coherent balance: sufficient liquidity to sustain commitments, sufficient operational capacity to generate future cash and sufficient information to recognise when that balance is deteriorating.

REFERENCES

1. Acharya, V. V., & Steffen, S. (2020). The risk of being a fallen angel and the corporate dash for cash in the midst of COVID. *The Review of Corporate Finance Studies, 9*(3), 430-471. https://doi.org/10.1093/rcfs/cfaa013

2. Amberg, N., Jacobson, T., & von Schedvin, E. (2021). Trade credit and product pricing: The role of implicit interest rates. *Journal of the European Economic Association, 19*(2), 709-740. https://doi.org/10.1093/jeea/jvaa007
3. Casaburi, L., & Willis, J. (2024). Value chain microfinance. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 40*(1), 160-175. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grad052
4. Cingano, F., Manaresi, F., & Sette, E. (2016). Does credit crunch investment down? New evidence on the real effects of the bank-lending channel. *The Review of Financial Studies, 29*(10), 2737-2773. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhw040
5. Cuñat, V. (2007). Trade credit: Suppliers as debt collectors and insurance providers. *The Review of Financial Studies, 20*(2), 491-527. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhl015
6. Denis, D. J., & Sibilkov, V. (2010). Financial constraints, investment, and the value of cash holdings. *The Review of Financial Studies, 23*(1), 247-269. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhp031
7. Kieschnick, R., Laplante, M., & Moussawi, R. (2013). Working capital management and shareholders' wealth. *Review of Finance, 17*(5), 1827-1852. https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfs043
8. Lehar, A., Song, V. Y., & Yuan, L. (2020). Industry structure and the strategic provision of trade credit by upstream firms. *The Review of Financial Studies, 33*(10), 4916-4972. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhaa002
9. Petersen, M. A., & Rajan, R. G. (1997). Trade credit: Theories and evidence. *The Review of Financial Studies, 10*(3), 661-691. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/10.3.661
10. Sufi, A. (2009). Bank lines of credit in corporate finance: An empirical analysis. *The Review of Financial Studies, 22*(3), 1057-1088. https://doi.org/10.1093/revfin/hhm007